CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete items 1 - 10 on the form. Use a separate form for each policy interpretation request. If additional space is needed, please use the second page. Be sure to identify the additional discussion with the appropriate number and heading. Retain a copy of the CF 24 for your records.

- Questions from counties, including county Quality Control, must be submitted by the county CalFresh Coordinator and may be submitted
 directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility for the county, with a copy directed to the appropriate CalFresh Policy unit
 manager.
- Questions from Administrative Law Judges may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility to the county where the hearing took place, with a copy of the form directed to the appropriate CalFresh Bureau unit manager.

1.	RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO:	5.	DATE OF REQUEST:	NEED RESPONSE BY:		
	✓ Policy/Regulation Interpretation		2/16/2016	ASAP		
	☐ QC ☐ Fair Hearing	6.	county/organization: Humboldt County DHH	S - SS		
	Other:	7.	suвлест: Duplicate aid			
2.	REQUESTOR NAME: Paris Bauer	REFERENCES: (Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references) NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s).				
3.	PHONE NO.: (707) 268-2778			F 24 dated 12/19/14 regarding use of CalWORKs from ne case in a separate CalFresh case.		
4.	REGULATION CITE(S): 63-802.17					

9. QUESTION: (INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CLARITY):

Father of child has been receiving CalWORKs/CalFresh for the child only (Father was ineligible for aid but his income was counting in the budget) but the child hasn't been living with him for over a year and this was not reported. The child applied as an unaccompanied minor on their own case on 1/4/16. The child did not receive any of the benefits issued on the father's case and those benefits will be a full month O/P-O/I. Can benefits be approved for the child effective 1/4/16 or would we only be able to issue as of 2/1/16 due to duplicate aid?

10. BEQUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER!

Benefits should be issued as of 1/4/16 since all benefits issued for that month and prior to that were received fraudulently and will be an O/I. Benefits received in error by another household shouldn't be held against the current applicant.

At the very least, the applicant should be entitled to the difference between the amount issued on the previous case and the amount they would be entitled to on their current application as this would be in the spirit of 63-802.17 (Restoration Entitlements to Households With Changed Membership) which allows for restored benefits to part of the household. The child is eligible to more CalFresh benefits on their own, since the Father's income and the CalWORKs are no longer included in this new budget. It was confirmed in a prior CF 24 response that CalWORKs income in one case shouldn't count against another CalFresh case unless it is given to the CalFresh household.

11. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY):

Per MPP 63-802.17 - The CWD can approve benefits for the child effective 1/4/2016.

FOR CDSS USE							
DATE RECEIVED:	DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ:						
2/16/2016	A.B. 3/04/2016						

RI	EQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION IN	TERPRETAT	Π	ON (Continued)	AMSTAN
۱.	RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO: Policy/Regulation Interpretation	5.		DATE OF REQUEST:	NEED RESPONSE BY:
	QC Fair Hearing Other:	6.		COUNTY/ORGANIZATION:	
		7.	SUBJECT:		
	REQUESTOR NAME:	8.	REFERENCES: (Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references) NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s).		
	PHONE NO.:				
	REGULATION CITE(S):				